

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/900,561	07/06/2001	Peter Bernard Kaars	US000171	5051		
24737	7590 10/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INT	TELLECTUAL PROPE	TRAN, NGHI V				
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	I MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Ditti ittebii i	William, 111 10310		2151			

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/900,561	KAARS, PETER BERNARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nghi V. Tran	2151	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi V. Tran	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE) and the appropriate exte	D WITHIN TWO			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supply of the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially re		; the issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jecteu ciaims.				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 	121. See attached Notice of Non-C):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	All for the Effect of	NISKO SE ASSESSIONIS	4			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other:	/_	1//				
	ZARNI I	MAUNG				
	PERVISORY P	ATENT EXAMINER	ł			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's argument draw to the new limitation that would required further search and consideration.